

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,713	02/27/2004	Colette Seyfried	23-0382	9617	•
40158 75	590 05/05/2005		EXAM	INER	1
LEONARD & PROEHL, PROF. L.L.C. 3500 SOUTH FIRST AVENUE CIRCLE SUITE 250			HALE, GLORIA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
SIOUX FALLS	s, SD 57105		3765		•

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	\otimes				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/789,713	SEYFRIED, COLETTE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gloria Hale	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>2-23-05 Amendment</u> .	,				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a						
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8 and 10-16</u> is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8 and 10-16</u> is/are rejected. 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ithdrawn from consideration.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doce		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		Application No.				
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I	e priority documents have beer	——————————————————————————————————————				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The snap and hook and loop components as seen in figures 3 and 4 and claimed in claims 6, 10 and 13 have not been clearly described. It is not clear as to how the single component of a snap fastener mate with the garment when the garment has not been claimed as including the complementary fastener component. A fastening component on the apparel was not previously disclosed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are not clear for the same reasons as discussed above.

However, the claims, as best understood have been examined on their merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,6,7,8,11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smart (US 4,825,475).

Smart discloses a retaining device of a round, flexible band conformable around an extremity of a user including engagement means clip or compression. The band is linear and elongated like a snake as broadly claimed and includes an interior surface and an exterior surface. Smart also discloses an interior pocket, which selectively retains an accessory, the clip. The band is wearable on a wearer over their clothing, under their clothing on directly on their skin surface as desired.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,5,10, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smart (US 4,825,475) in view of Tomlinson et al(US 5,794,769).

Smart discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the indicia as claimed in claim 3, the illumination member as claimed in claim 5, as further including a storage pocket, and the organic snake like linear elongated shape as claimed in claims 10 and 12 and as being encompassed around a sleeve cuff as in claim 16. Tomlinson et al discloses a retaining device with indicia, illumination members (col. 7, lines 23-29) and a storage type pocket (15, as seen in figure 1A and col. 4, line 6).

Claims 1,2,6-8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breslow (US 2,691,172) in view of Kalomeric (US 4,525,879).

Breslow discloses a retaining device and the method of providing such a device comprising a flexible band in an organic form with engagement means including 9 and 11, the friction gripping means and clasps, as seen in figure 1 in addition to mechanical compression about the wearer. However, Breslow does not specifically include a pocket on an interior surface for retaining an accessory with the pocket having an open side spaced from an edge of the flexible band. Kalomeris discloses a pocket 40 with an opening 56 and closure means 60 (See Kalomeris, col. 5, lines 4-23 and figures 1-3). The belt is "round" with interior and exterior surfaces as claimed. Accordingly it would have been obvious to modify the flexible band of Breslow with the teaching of Kalomeris to include a pocket therein as disclosed by Kalomeris to store items therein as desired.

Claims 3, 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breslow (US 2,691,172) in view of Kalomeris (US 4,525,879) and further in view of Tomlinson (US 5,794,769).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,713

Art Unit: 3765

Breslow and Kalomeris discloses the invention substantially as claimed.

However, They do not disclose the indicia and illumination members as claimed.

Tomlinson discloses the indicia and illumination means as claimed (See Tomlinson, col. 7,lines 23-29).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Breslow and Kalomeris to include decorative indicia and illumination means as a design expedient in order to provide a desired aesthetic effect.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2-23-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's representative is arguing more than what has been claimed. The narrow interpretation of the term "Accessory" is incorrect. The pocket of Smart holds an accessory, the hook as broadly claimed. The pocket opening is spaced away from the edge as claimed. Additionally the claims read on well known money belts with hidden interior pockets and also having a rubber or hook means for retention to the garment on the inside as seen in the new rejection..

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3765

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria Hale whose telephone number is 571-272-4984. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Storia Hale Primary Examina

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3765